

MERRIFIELD, VA 22116

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address Commissioner O. R. PATENTS PO. Box 1459 Alexandra, Vignus 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10.065,717 11/13/2002 Ming-Sheng Yang NAUP0504USA 4269

27765 07 11 2003 NAIPO (NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE) P.O. BOX 506

EXAMINER

POMPEY, RON EVERETT PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT 2812

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

D	
it(s)	
ΓAL.	
dence address	
dered timely. ate of this communication. § 133). any	
as to the merits is 213.	
	į
1.85(a).	
e Examiner.	
).	
·	
National Stage	
ovisional application).	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/065,717	YANG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>	
	Ron E Pompey	2812		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims				
4)☑ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	I application).	
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 				
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT		
S. Patent and Trademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 10/065,717

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 14-16 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yu (US 6,506,650).

Yu discloses the limitations of:

Performing a first ion implantation process (24, fig. 1) to form a first doped region in the substrate;

Sequentially forming a dielectric layer (30, fig. 2) and a sacrificial layer (32, fig. 3) on the substrate:

Forming a L-shaped spacer (28, fig. 2) on either side of the gate;

Performing a second ion implantation (40, fig. 42) process to form a second doped region with a gradient profile in portions of the substrate adjacent to either side of the L-shaped spacer; and

Performing a self-aligned silicide(salicide) process to form a silicide layer on the gate and on exposed portions of the substrate surface above the second doped region (46, 48, fig. 7) (col. 3, ln. 7 - 34).

Application/Control Number: 10/065,717 Page 3

Art Unit: 2812

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6, 10-13, 17-21 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu (US 6,506,650) in further view of Lee et al. (US 5,153,145).

Yu disclose the claimed invention, as disclosed above, except the limitations disclosed by Lee:

Wherein the sacrificial layer comprises polysilicon (23, fig. 4) (col. 3, Ins. 1-2); and

Performing a RTP, wet etch and second RTP(col. 4, Ins. 42-52), because these are methods known in the art to form salicidation (salicide).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Yu with Lee, because Lee the polysilicon in Lee was equivalent to the oxides in Yu as masking materials and Lee is more explict in describing a salicide process whereas Yu did not. Also, cobalt Co is a refractory metal like those disclosed in Lee and are considered art recognized equivalents due to there electrical properties being similar.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,717 Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron E Pompey whose telephone number is (703) 305-3016. The examiner can normally be reached on flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

July

Ron Pompey

Art Unit: 2812

June 30, 2003